```
1
                        BEFORE THE
                ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
 2.
 3 IN THE MATTER OF:
                                   )
 4 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
        On Its Own Motion
 5
                                   ) No. 06-0525
   Consideration of the federal
 6 standard on Interconnection in )
   Section 1254 of the Energy
 7 Policy Act of 2005.
                                   )
 8
                               Chicago, Illinois
 9
                               August 28, 2006
10
11
           Met pursuant to notice at 11:30 a.m.
12
13 BEFORE:
      MS. CLAUDIA SAINSOT, Administrative Law Judge.
14
15
16 APPEARANCES:
17
       MR. MICHAEL J. LANNON and
       MR. SEAN BRADY,
       Office Of General Counsel,
18
       160 North LaSalle Street,
19
       Chicago, Illinois 60601,
         appeared for Commission Staff;
20
       MR. MICHAEL S. PABIAN and
21
       10 South Dearborn Street,
22
       Chicago, Illinois 60603,
         appeared for ComEd;
```

```
1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)
 2
       JONES DAY, by
 3
       MS. LAURA M. EARL,
       77 West Wacker Drive,
 4
       Chicago, Illinois 60601,
         appeared for Ameren Companies;
 5
6
       MR. JOHN N. MOORE,
       35 East Wacker Drive,
7
       Chicago, Illinois 60601,
         appeared for Environmental Law and
8
         Policy Center;
9
       MS. SUZAN M. STEWART and
10
       MS. KAREN M. HUIZENGA,
       PO Box 778,
11
       401 Douglas Street,
       Sioux City, Iowa 51102,
12
         appeared for MidAmerican Energy
         Company, telephonically;
13
14
       MS. JENNIFER MOORE,
       200 First Street, SE,
15
       Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401,
         appeared for Interstate Power and
         Light Company and South Beloit Water,
16
         Gas and Electric Company, telephonically.
17
18
19
20
21
22 SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
   Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR
```

1		<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>
2	Mi ku a na na na	Re- Re- By
3	Witnesses:	Dir. Crx. dir. crx. Examiner
4	None	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		<u>E X H I B I T S</u>
11	Number	For Identification In Evidence
12	None	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

- 1 JUDGE SAINSOT: By the authority vested in me
- 2 by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 3 Docket No. 06-0525. It is the Illinois Commerce
- 4 Commission, On Its Own Motion, and it is
- 5 consideration of the federal standard on
- 6 Interconnection in Section 1254 of the Energy Policy
- 7 Act of 2005.
- 8 Will the parties identify themselves
- 9 for the record, please.
- 10 MR. LANNON: Appearing on behalf of Staff of the
- 11 Illinois Commerce Commission, Sean Brady and Michael
- 12 Lannon, 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
- 13 Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 14 MR. PABIAN: On behalf of Commonwealth Edison
- 15 Company, Michael Pabian, 10 South Dearborn Street,
- 16 35th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60603.
- 17 MS. EARL: On behalf of the AmerenCILCO,
- 18 AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP, Laura Earl of Jones Day, at
- 19 77 West Wacker, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 20 MR. MOORE: John Moore with the Environmental
- 21 Law & Policy Center, 35 East Wacker Drive,
- 22 Suite 1300, Chicago, 60601.

- 1 MS. STEWART: Suzan M. Stewart and Karen M.
- 2 Huizenga, appear on behalf of MidAmerican Energy
- 3 Company, PO Box 778, 401 Douglas Street, Sioux City,
- 4 Iowa 51102.
- 5 MS. MOORE: And appearing on behalf of
- 6 Interstate Power and Light Company and South Beloit
- 7 Water, Gas and Electric, Jennifer Moore, 200 First
- 8 Street, Southeast, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401.
- 9 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Would somebody like to --
- 10 Mr. Pabian, set forth the schedule that we've
- 11 discussed off the record?
- 12 MR. PABIAN: Sure, your Honor.
- 13 A discussion was had concerning the
- 14 February date that's in the originating order, and
- 15 the consensus, at least, among the parties is that
- 16 it may have been entered into the order by mistake.
- 17 Staff will circulate among the parties
- 18 a draft of the motion requesting that the Commission
- 19 remove that interium date from the order, probably
- 20 by -- sometime next week, and the parties may end up
- 21 concuring in that order.
- 22 With respect to the substance of the

- 1 docket, it was determined that the parties would
- 2 file verified comments inserting the issue about
- 3 whether the federal standard should be adopted.
- 4 Those comments would be submitted by November 2nd.
- 5 Reply comments would be submitted by
- 6 November 22nd.
- 7 And a follow-up status is scheduled
- 8 for December 5th at 11:00 a.m., with the
- 9 understanding that should the Commission deny the
- 10 motion concerning the February date, your Honor may
- 11 end up convening an emergency status to reconsider
- 12 the schedule.
- 13 JUDGE SAINSOT: Does that accurately reflect
- 14 what was discussed?
- 15 MR. MOORE: Yes.
- MR. LANNON: Yes, your Honor.
- 17 JUDGE SAINSOT: Any comments from the phone?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 JUDGE SAINSOT: No. I guess not.
- 20 Anything further to discuss?
- 21 MR. LANNON: Nothing from Staff, your Honor.
- MR. PABIAN: No.

```
1 MR. MOORE: Nothing.
  JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Thanks.
 2
 3
                 Have a good day.
                         (Whereupon, the above-entitled
 4
 5
                          matter was continued to
 6
                          September 21, 2006.)
7
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```